Attorney I.D. 6290970

JPS/AAV

HG-83648

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

VIRGIL SMITH, Individually, &	)	
ADAM SMITH, through his legal guardian,	)	
VIRGIL SMITH,	)	
,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
Tuments,	í	
VS.	)	
vs.	)	
PHOENIX SEATING SYSTEMS, LLC	)	
•	)	
d/b/a FALCON/LEBAC SYSTEMS, a	)	
limited liability corporation, and	)	
APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP, INC.,	)	
a corporation,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
PHOENIX SEATING SYSTEMS, LLC	)	
d/b/a FALCON/LEBAC SYSTEMS, a	)	
limited liability corporation,	)	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	)	
Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 09-568-GPM
Beleficially Time Turty Trainers,	)	Cuse 110. 03 300 GI 111
VS.	)	
vs.	)	
VELO ENTERPRISE CO., LTD.	)	
•	)	
1012 Sec. 1 Chung Shan Road	)	
Tachia, Taichung Hsien, Taiwan	)	
P.O. Box 152 Tachia	)	
	)	
Third Party Defendant,	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
RATTOX CORPORATION	)	
No. 19, Lane 3, Alley 227, Nung-An St.	)	
Taipei, Taiwan	)	
P.O. Box 68-367	)	
	í	
Third Party Defendant.	Ś	
inital arty Detendant.	,	

## THIRD PARTY DEFENDANTS' RULE 12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS COUNT II and COUNT IV OF PHOENIX SEATING SYSTEMS, LLC'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT

NOW COME the Third Party Defendants, VELO ENTERPRISE CO., LTD. ("VELO") and RATTOX CORPORATION ("RATTOX"), by and through their attorneys, SANCHEZ DANIELS & HOFFMAN LLP, and move this Honorable Court, pursuant to Federal Rule 12(b)(6), to dismiss Counts II and IV of the Third Party Complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; and in support of said motion, state as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs Virgil Smith and Adam Smith filed a First Amended Complaint against Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff Phoenix Seating Systems, LLC ("Phoenix") alleging fault based upon strict liability.
- 2. Phoenix filed a Third Party Complaint against Velo Enterprise Co., Ltd. and Rattox Corporation.
  - 3. Counts II and IV of the Third Party Complaint are based upon implied indemnity.
- 4. The Illinois Supreme Court in *Frazer* has dictated that a claim for indemnity must fail if the one seeking indemnity is at fault in the underlying action. *Frazer v. A.F. Munsterman*, *Inc.*, 123 Ill.2d 245, 261; 527 N.E.2d 1248, 1255 (Ill. 1988). *See also Dixon v. Chicago and N. W. Transp. Co.*, 151 Ill.2d 108, 121; 601 N.E.2d 704, 710 (Ill. 1992)("implied indemnity in a defective products case remains available only where the party seeking it was not negligent or otherwise at fault in causing the loss.")(internal citations omitted).
- 5. Importantly, there is no need for a judgment of fault to be entered prior to dismissing the implied indemnity counts. Instead, the party seeking implied indemnity need only be charged with fault in the underlying action. *See Thatcher v. Commonwealth Edison Co.*, 123 Ill.2d 275; 527 N.E.2d 1261 (Ill. 1988).

6. In the present case, Phoenix seeks indemnification from Velo and Rattox "in the

full amount of any judgment rendered in favor of Plaintiffs and against Phoenix". For Phoenix

to be found liable to Plaintiffs a finding of fault on the part of Phoenix would have to be made.

7. Because the *Frazer* requirement of a faultless indemnitee is not met, Phoenix fails

to state a cause of action for implied indemnity.

WHEREFORE, Third Party Defendants Velo Enterprise Co., Ltd. and Rattox

Corporation respectfully request that this Honorable Court dismiss Counts II and IV of the Third

Party Complaint and for such other relief deemed just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

SANCHEZ DANIELS & HOFFMAN LLP

s/Joseph P. Sullivan

Attorneys for Third Party Defendants, VELO ENTERPRISE CO., LTD. and RATTOX CORPORATION

Joseph P. Sullivan (ARDC: 6206202) April A. Vesely (ARDC: 6290970)

SANCHEZ DANIELS & HOFFMAN LLP

333 West Wacker Drive Suite 500 Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 641-1555

	Attorney	I.D.	6290970	)
--	----------	------	---------	---

JPS/AAV

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

VIRGIL SMITH, Individually, &	)	
ADAM SMITH, through his legal guardian,	)	
VIRGIL SMITH,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
·	)	
VS.	)	Case No. 09-568-GPM
	)	
PHOENIX SEATING SYSTEMS, LLC	)	
d/b/a FALCON/LEBAC SYSTEMS, a	)	
limited liability corporation, and	)	
APRIA HEALTHCARE GROUP, INC.,	)	
a corporation,	)	
,	)	
Defendants.	)	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

To: VIA ECF FILING
Attorneys of Record

I hereby certify that I electronically filed this Certificate of Service and Third Party Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Count II and Count IV of Phoenix Seating Systems, LLC's Third Party Complaint on behalf of Third Party Defendants, VELO ENTERPRISE CO., LTD. and RATTOX CORPORATION via the ECF System and that a true and correct copy will be served electronically to all attorney(s) of record at or before 5:00 p.m. on September 7, 2010 and that this statement as set forth is true and correct.

s/Joseph P. Sullivan

Joseph P. Sullivan (ARDC: 6206202) April A. Vesely (ARDC: 6290970)

SANCHEZ DANIELS & HOFFMAN LLP

Attorneys for Third Party Defendants 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 500

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone: (312) 641-1555 Facsimile: (312) 641-3004